

Representing Relations Using Digraphs

- Obviously, we can represent any relation R on a set A by the digraph with A as its vertices and all pairs $(a, b) \in R$ as its edges.
- Vice versa, any digraph with vertices V and edges E can be represented by a relation on V containing all the pairs in E .
- This **one-to-one correspondence** between relations and digraphs means that any statement about relations also applies to digraphs, and vice versa.

Equivalence Relations

- **Equivalence relations** are used to relate objects that are similar in some way.
- **Definition:** A relation on a set A is called an equivalence relation if it is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive.
- Two elements that are related by an equivalence relation R are called **equivalent**.

Equivalence Relations

- Since R is **symmetric**, a is equivalent to b whenever b is equivalent to a .
- Since R is **reflexive**, every element is equivalent to itself.
- Since R is **transitive**, if a and b are equivalent and b and c are equivalent, then a and c are equivalent.
- Obviously, these three properties are necessary for a reasonable definition of equivalence.

Equivalence Relations

•**Example:** Suppose that R is the relation on the set of strings that consist of English letters such that aRb if and only if $l(a) = l(b)$, where $l(x)$ is the length of the string x . Is R an equivalence relation?

•**Solution:**

- R is reflexive, because $l(a) = l(a)$ and therefore aRa for any string a .
- R is symmetric, because if $l(a) = l(b)$ then $l(b) = l(a)$, so if aRb then bRa .
- R is transitive, because if $l(a) = l(b)$ and $l(b) = l(c)$, then $l(a) = l(c)$, so aRb and bRc implies aRc .
- **R is an equivalence relation.**

Equivalence Classes

- **Definition:** Let R be an equivalence relation on a set A . The set of all elements that are related to an element a of A is called the **equivalence class** of a .
- The equivalence class of a with respect to R is denoted by $[a]_R$.
- When only one relation is under consideration, we will delete the subscript R and write $[a]$ for this equivalence class.
- If $b \in [a]_R$, b is called a **representative** of this equivalence class.

Equivalence Classes

- Example:** In the previous example (strings of identical length), what is the equivalence class of the word mouse, denoted by [mouse] ?

- Solution:** [mouse] is the set of all English words containing five letters.

- For example, 'horse' would be a representative of this equivalence class.

-

Equivalence Classes

•**Theorem:** Let R be an equivalence relation on a set A . The following statements are equivalent:

- aRb
- $[a] = [b]$
- $[a] \cap [b] \neq \emptyset$

•**Definition:** A **partition** of a set S is a collection of disjoint nonempty subsets of S that have S as their union. In other words, the collection of subsets A_i ,

$i \in I$, forms a partition of S if and only if

(i) $A_i \neq \emptyset$ for $i \in I$

- $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$, if $i \neq j$
- $\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i = S$

Equivalence Classes

•**Examples:** Let S be the set $\{u, m, b, r, o, c, k, s\}$.
Do the following collections of sets partition S ?

$\{\{m, o, c, k\}, \{r, u, b, s\}\}$

yes.

$\{\{c, o, m, b\}, \{u, s\}, \{r\}\}$

no (k is missing).

$\{\{b, r, o, c, k\}, \{m, u, s, t\}\}$

no (t is not in S).

$\{\{u, m, b, r, o, c, k, s\}\}$

yes.

$\{\{b, o, o, k\}, \{r, u, m\}, \{c, s\}\}$

yes ($\{b, o, o, k\} = \{b, o, k\}$).

$\{\{u, m, b\}, \{r, o, c, k, s\}, \{\varnothing\}\}$

no (\varnothing not allowed).

Equivalence Classes

•**Theorem:** Let R be an equivalence relation on a set S . Then the **equivalence classes** of R form a **partition** of S . Conversely, given a partition $\{A_i \mid i \in I\}$ of the set S , there is an equivalence relation R that has the sets A_i , $i \in I$, as its equivalence classes.

Equivalence Classes

- **Example:** Let us assume that Frank, Suzanne and George live in Boston, Stephanie and Max live in Lübeck, and Jennifer lives in Sydney.
- Let R be the **equivalence relation** $\{(a, b) \mid a \text{ and } b \text{ live in the same city}\}$ on the set $P = \{\text{Frank, Suzanne, George, Stephanie, Max, Jennifer}\}$.
- Then $R = \{(\text{Frank, Frank}), (\text{Frank, Suzanne}), (\text{Frank, George}), (\text{Suzanne, Frank}), (\text{Suzanne, Suzanne}), (\text{Suzanne, George}), (\text{George, Frank}), (\text{George, Suzanne}), (\text{George, George}), (\text{Stephanie, Stephanie}), (\text{Stephanie, Max}), (\text{Max, Stephanie}), (\text{Max, Max}), (\text{Jennifer, Jennifer})\}$.

Equivalence Classes

- Then the **equivalence classes** of R are:
- $\{\{\text{Frank, Suzanne, George}\}, \{\text{Stephanie, Max}\}, \{\text{Jennifer}\}\}$.
- This is a **partition** of P .
- The equivalence classes of any equivalence relation R defined on a set S constitute a partition of S , because every element in S is assigned to **exactly one** of the equivalence classes.

Equivalence Classes

- **Another example:** Let R be the relation $\{(a, b) \mid a \equiv b \pmod{3}\}$ on the set of integers.
- Is R an equivalence relation?
- Yes, R is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive.
- What are the equivalence classes of R ?
- $\{\{ \dots, -6, -3, 0, 3, 6, \dots \},$
 $\{ \dots, -5, -2, 1, 4, 7, \dots \},$
 $\{ \dots, -4, -1, 2, 5, 8, \dots \} \}$